

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,628	01/25/2001	George B. Diamond	P/2790-71	5333
2352	7590 12/19/2005		EXAMINER	
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN			WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L	
	IE OF THE AMERICAS NY 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	111 100000100		1761	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>V</i> D		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/769,628	DIAMOND ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven L. Weinstein	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	s		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	ebruary 2005 and 08 July 2005.				
<u></u>	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
/- ഗいろ	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-14 and 23-32</u> is/are					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,15 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	-		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stag	ge		
application from the International Bureau	ม (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 09/769,628

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-5, 15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35USC112, first paragraph as being non-enabling for the reasons given in the Office actions mailed 4/4/03, 11/26/03, and 8/13/04.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,4,15, and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamond et al ('237) in view of Knize ('388), further in view of Creegan ('765) and Shepard ('080), further in view of Wilkinson et al ('933), Malmquist ('239), Jones ('867), Pettit et al ('140) and Rayzal ('279) for the reasons given in the Office actions mailed 11/26/03 and 8/13/04.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Saunders ('774) for the reasons given in the Office action mailed 4/4/03.

All of applicants' remarks filed 2/16/05 have been fully and carefully considered but are not found to be convincing. It is urged that the Office action's holding that the specification is non-enabling is not well founded. This urging is not convincing since it is based on an inaccurate reading of the Office action. The claims are rejected under 35USC112. As fully detailed previously, the specification discloses subject matter in regard to structural, dimensional and functional relationships necessary to achieve the disclosed objective, which subject matter is omitted from the claims and is thus not

Art Unit: 1761

enabled by the disclosure. Without the relationships previously discussed, urgings relative to such issues as absorbing more pressure than Diamond et al, are directed to limitations not found in the claims. On page 9 of the amendment, it is urged that the concavity of the claim is somehow different from that of Diamond. This purported difference is not seen. Diamond shows a concavity formed inwardly of the inwardly formed raised portions.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven L. Weinstein whose telephone number is 571-272-1410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00AM to 2:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,628 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stevelveinstein STEVE WEINSTEIN PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761